**ANNEX. FULLY-COSTED EVALUATION PLAN**

**UNDP Belize Evaluation Plan provides the basis for the systematic and impartial review of the effectiveness of the CO’s programmatic offer. The CO employs a mix of independent and decentralized thematic evaluations as a means of supporting CO learning and improving quality and relevance of the CO’s programmable offer. Scheduled evaluations ensures consistency of the offer with those development principles and direction advocated by UNDP as a global development partner.**

**Considering CO size and associated resourcing constraints, the plan’s foundation rests in the effective administration of timely project evaluations that are customarily self-resourced from project budgets. Project evaluations are effectively impact evaluations which allows the CO’s tracking of relevance, efficiency and effectiveness of projects. Project evaluations are commissioned based on UNDP and donor guidance on mandatory evaluation thresholds. The measuring of individual project relevance and effectiveness is utilized as a proxy for assessing overarching portfolio performance and relevance and project evaluations provides significant insight on adjustments necessary to improve the CO’s programming.**

**The Evaluation plan is also seeded with strategic thematic and portfolio evaluations which are meant to validate portfolio performance as is suggested by the more common project evaluations. Mid-cycle portfolio evaluations also serve as early warning beacons as the CO tracks its performance against commitments found within its defined Country Programme. Midterm reviews allow sufficient time for structural portfolio adjustments ensuring alignment to national offer elaborated within the CPD. Planned CPD evaluations allow the CO to determine if its programming made a coherent contribution to those overarching commitments made by the joint UN system through the Caribbean Region MSDCF. Linking CPD evaluations with CO portfolio reviews improves budgetary efficiency and streamlines the evaluation plan by minimizes incidences of evaluation overlaps. It also minimizes the possibility of evaluation fatigue by national counterparts who play a significant role in advancing UNDP programming.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **UNMSDCF**  **Outcome** | **UNDP Strategic Plan Outcome** | **Evaluation Title** | **Partners (joint evaluation)** | **Evaluation commissioned by (if not UNDP)** | **Type of evaluation** | **Planned Evaluation Completion Date** | **Estimated Cost** | **Provisional Source of Funding** | |
| UNMSDCF 2022-2026 evaluation |  | Final evaluation of UNMSCDF | * UNS | RCO | UNMSDCF | Dec.2025 | 30,000 | United Nations System |  | |
| **Pillar:**  **PLANET: Resilience to Climate Change and sustainable NRM**   * Outcome 5: Caribbean people and communities have enhanced adaptive capacity for inclusive, gender responsive DRM and climate change adaptation and mitigation * Outcome 6: Natural resources and ecosystems are managed moresustainably to enhance community and people resilience as well as shared prosperity | SP Outcomes 1-3 | Mid term Pillar/ Programme Evaluation (E&E Portfolio) | * MSDCCDRM * MNRPM * MFEDI * MAFSE * GEF SGP * UNRCO | UNDP | Programme Thematic Outcome evaluation | July 2024 | $25,000 | Project/ CO M&E Budget |
| GEF 6 - PIMS 6015: Integrated management of production landscapes to deliver multiple global environmental benefits  Midterm Evaluation | * MSDCCDRM * MAFSE | GEF | Project Mid term Review | March 2024 | $15,000 | Project | |
| GEF 6 - PIMS 6015: Integrated management of production landscapes to deliver multiple global environmental benefits  Terminal Evaluation | * MSDCCDRM * MAFSE | GEF | Project Terminal Evaluation | March 2026 | $30,000 | Project | |
| GEF 7 - PIMS 6397: Enhancing jaguar corridors and strongholds through improved management and threat reduction  Terminal Evaluation | * MSDCCDRM | GEF | Project Terminal Evaluation | December 2023 | $25,000 | Project | |
| Towards Low Carbon Transport: Piloting e-mobility within Belize’s Public Transport System | * MPUL | EU | Project Terminal Evaluation | December 2023 | $30,000 | Project | |
| **Pillar: Safety, Justice and the Rule of Law**   * Outcome 7: Regional and national laws, policies, systems and institutions improve access to justice and promote peace, social cohesion and security * Outcome 8: People in the Caribbean and communities have an improved standard of living, in safe, fair, inclusive, and equitable societies | SP Outcomes 1 & 2 | Mid term Pillar/ Programme Evaluation (Governance Portfolio) | * MAG * MHDFIPA * MYSE-G * MHANGI * UNRCO | UNDP | Programme Thematic Outcome evaluation | July 2024 | $25,000 | Project/ CO M& E Budget | |
| **Country Programme Document (CPD 2022-2026)** | SP outcomes 1-3 | CPD Terminal Evaluation |  | UNDP | Cooperation Framework Evaluation | October 2024 | $35,000 | CO M&E budget | |
| CPD Terminal Evaluation |  | UNDP | Cooperation Framework Evaluation | October 2026 | $50,000 | CO M&E budget | |
| Independent Country Programme Review |  | UNDP IEO | Programme Evaluation | December 2025 | $35,000 |  | |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | |

**Note:**

Individual Project evaluations are planned across the cooperation cycle. Projects are subjected to one (1) or two (2) evaluations across their lifetime dependent on project duration and specific donor requirements. It is customary practice that Projects implemented in a period less than 36 months be subjected to a single terminal evaluation administered within the last 6 months of project implementation. For longer duration projects, 2 evaluations (Mid-term and Terminal) are required.

Terminal evaluations are mandatory for all GEF financed medium sized and full-sized projects, and midterm reviews required for all full-sized projects.

Standard costs for project evaluations is: $15,000 Project Mid-term Evaluation; $25,000 Project Terminal Evaluation. Evaluations are costed within respective project budgets. CO Project evaluation Plan is updated on an annual basis ad tracked by UNDP Evaluation Resource Center.