**COMMENTS ON THE UNDP DRAFT COUNTRY PROGRAMME DOCUMENT FOR ERITREA (2022-2026)**

*Second regular session 2021*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Member State comments** | **Change to CPD** | **Country Office comments** |
| **The United States of America** | | |
| The United States and other Executive Board members - in formal and informal meetings of the Executive Board and with UN leadership, including the Development Cooperation Office (DCO) – as well as OP74 of A/Res/75/233 have set clear expectations that the UN development system do a better job to sequence entity-specific country program documents and their underlying Frameworks and/or outcome matrices. We note that only a draft outcome matrix was provided, without indication that it had been agreed by the Government and UNCT.  In the future, we encourage UNDP to ensure that finalized UNSDCFs and/or clearly marked ‘final’ or ‘approved’ outcome matrices are provided along with the draft Country Program Documents during the designated commenting period. | Non-applicable, only a slight change on para.4 [last sentence] to suit Government’s request. | The comment is well noted. The sequencing was fine for Eritrea, starting with CCA, then the ToC for SCF and the results matrices, thereof the CPD, which has formal letter of endorsement by Government. Indeed, we acknowledge the initial documents provided were final drafts. The finalized version of the SCF has now been submitted. Government will only jointly co-sign the entire document when it is ready.  **NB**: UNCT is undergoing some transition with the arrival of the new RC. She would have to settle first before engaging government on the signature. But please note that government has been a party to the process. |